



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**COCP-3688-2025
Decided on:29.07.2025**

Sakshi

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Vineet Garg and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. A perusal of the paperbook would show that no provision has been cited as to which authority is to address the grievance of the petitioner. Even, no averment is made in the present petition as to when did the petitioner approach the competent authority in compliance of the order dated 12.06.2025.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after giving full and better particulars.
3. In view of the above, present Contempt Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.
4. It is made clear that in case, any such petition is filed, same would be considered independently, in accordance with law.

29.07.2025*Mehak*

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**