



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CR No.1177 of 2022

Date of Decision: 13.01.2025

Sher Singh

...Petitioner

V/s

Dadri Education Society Dadri and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Preeti Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that against the impugned order, an appeal would be maintainable in terms of the provisions of Order 43 Rule 1(u) CPC.

2. To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition, as the petitioner would file an appeal against the said order in accordance with law while claiming the benefit of Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963.

3. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition is dismissed as having been withdrawn.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

January 13, 2025

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No