

2025:PHHC:050171



1

**CRR-500-2025**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRR-500-2025  
Decided on: 21.04.2025

Kehar Puri

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Yashjot Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
16	06.02.2021	Julkan Dist. Patiala	302/34/201 IPC

1. Aggrieved by the order dated 10.01.2025, vide which petitioner along with other accused were directed to face trial in the FIR captioned above, petitioner has come up before this court by filing the present Criminal revision petition under Section 401 CrPC.

2. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with a prayer that petitioner be permitted to file a discharge application before the trial Court and the said Court be directed to answer each and every point so raised in the said application.

3. Given above, petitioner is permitted to file a precise application for discharge, but such application should not be unnecessarily lengthy and if such application is filed in that case, the concerned Court shall decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order and shall answer to each and every point taken up in the said application. It is

2025:PHHC:050171



2

**CRR-500-2025**

clarified that petitioner shall not seek any adjournment to argue the matter before the trial Court. If the petitioner seeks unnecessary adjournments, this order shall stand recalled under Section 403 BNSS 2023. In case, order of framing of charges is passed, in that case, petitioner is at liberty to challenge the charges in accordance with law.

4. Petition is disposed of in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE**

21.04.2025  
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: No.