



237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14849-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 17.01.2025

SURESH KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. G.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate for respondent No.2 to 5.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.021 dated 13.03.2020, registered for offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, 1860 and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation Act 2014) at Police Station Behram, District SBS Nagar and all subsequent proceedings arising thereto on the basis of compromise dated 19.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of FIR.

Vide order dated 21.03.2024, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise was called for.

The report dated 24.04.2024 has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class, SBS Nagar, stating that the parties



have entered into a compromise, which is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 submits that he has no objection if the petition is allowed.

Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, has held:-

“The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.

The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and ever-lasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”

The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the



CRM-M-14849-2024

-3-

matter of '*Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303*'. Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '*Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another*' (2017) 9 SCC 641'.

It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chances of conviction.

In view of above, FIR No.021 dated 13.03.2020, registered for offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, 1860 and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation Act 2014) at Police Station Behram, District SBS Nagar and all subsequent proceedings arising thereto on the basis of compromise dated 19.02.2024 (Annexure P-2), is quashed qua the petitioner.

The present petition is hereby allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

17.01.2025
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*