



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-6085-2025Date of Decision: 05.03.2025

Avtar Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Dilshad Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to consider him for enhancement of his age of retirement by two years.

2. The petitioner was working with respondent as S.I. (Local Rank). He attained age of 58 years on 31.03.2020. He was granted extension of 6 months, thus, he continued to work till 30.09.2020.

3. Mr. Gill submits that petitioner being person with disability was entitled to continue till the age of 60 years. The respondent without rhyme or reason superannuated him on 30.09.2020. Had petitioner worked till the age of 60 years, he would have certainly received higher salary as well as higher pension. The act of respondent has affected his financial benefits.

4. From the sequence of events, it is evident that petitioner attained age of 58 years on 30.03.2020 and he was superannuated on 30.09.2020. A period of more than 4 years has passed away. The petitioner did not approach this Court till the attaining age of 60 years. It is apt to notice that petitioner attained age of 60 years on 31.03.2022.

5. This Court does not find it appropriate to invoke its jurisdiction when the petitioner has already attained age of 60 years.

6. Dismissed.

05.03.2025

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE