



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CRM-M-13888-2025
Decided on : 08.10.2025

M/s Groww Invest Tech Pvt. Ltd. . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Ekakshra Mahajan Mandhar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate (**through V.C.**)
for respondent No.2 – Bank.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner – M/s Groww Invest Tech Pvt. Ltd., has filed instant petition u/s 528 of BNSS, 2023, for issuance of direction to quash the impugned notice dated 26.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), issued by the State of Haryana, through SHO, P.S. Cyber Crime West, Sector 22, Palam Vihar, Gurugram. A further prayer has also been made to issue direction to respondent No.2 – HDFC Bank, to debit freeze the petitioner's Bank account and mark a lien of Rs.70,00,000/- over the said Bank account and then to remove the lien for restoring its full access to the petitioner.

2. On hearing the submissions of the parties before the Court on 22.07.2025, following order was passed:-

"1. In the instant petition, on 12.03.2025, following order was passed:-

"1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for issuance of an appropriate order or direction to quash and set aside the impugned notice dated 26.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), issued by respondent no.1, directing respondent



No.2 to debit freeze the petitioner's bank account and mark a lien of INR 70,00,000/- over the said bank account and to consequentially direct respondent No.2 to remove the lien on the bank account and restore its full access to the petitioner.

2. Admittedly, petitioner is not accused in any criminal case having been registered against him. According to the petitioner, in fact, there has been some complaint lying with the Cyber Crime (West) Gurugram, wherein he alleged that amount, which was lying in his bank account of HDFC Bank, has been fraudulently transferred in some other banks namely i) Axis Bank ii) HDFC Bank iii) Punjab National Bank iv) IDBI Bank v) Canara Bank vi) ICICI Bank vii) Bank of Baroda viii) Union Bank of India ix) State Bank of India x) Bank of India xi) DBS Bank.

3. Feeling aggrieved with the request of the Cyber Crime (West), Sector 22, Palam Vihar, Gurugram, whereby respondent No.2 – HDFC bank has been requested to provide certain information.

4. On account of the impugned communication by respondent No.1, respondent No.2 has frozen the bank account of the petitioner also.

5. Without adjudicating on the issue of maintainability of the present petition, let at first instance, status report be filed by the State of Haryana.

Adjourned to 22.04.2025."

2. Thereafter status report dated 06.05.2025 was filed by the learned State counsel, which is already available on record and on 07.05.2025, following order was passed:-

"i) Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Priyanshu Deewan, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police Cyber Gurugram, on behalf of respondent/State. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper book. A copy thereof has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

ii) Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, as acknowledged in the status report, the petitioner's bank account has been seized due to the receipt of a total of 1809 complaints against it. However, to demonstrate further, she submits that the arguing counsel is not available, therefore, she prays for an adjournment.

iii) Let notice to respondent No.2 be issued for the date fixed.

iv) Process dasti as well.

v) List on 22.07.2025."

3. Respondent No.2 was ordered to be served for the date fixed i.e. 22.07.2025.

4. As per office report, respondent No.2 could not be served for want of process fee.

5. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.2 for 01.08.2025, subject to deposit of process fee within one week.

6. Process dasti as well.

7. To be taken up after the bail matter."

3. Today, Mr. Nitin Thatati, learned counsel appearing through



V.C., on behalf of respondent No.2 – HDFC Bank, submits that Bank account of the petitioner has already been de-freeze and in view of this, instant petition can be disposed of.

4. Respondent No.1 also files status report dated 03.10.2025, in Court, in the form of affidavit of Priyanshu Dewan, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Cyber, District Gurugram, on behalf of respondent No.1 – State of Haryana, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. A copy thereof has been handed-over to learned counsel for the petitioner as well.

5. Learned State counsel submits that the required communication has been sent to the bank for removal of the lien mark on the Bank account of the petitioner. In support of his contentions, learned State counsel refers to paragraph Nos. 6, 7 & 8 of the status report, which is reproduced here-under also:-

“6. That with a view to ensure that no further fraudulent activity takes place and further with a view to ascertain the identity of the account holders in the said 23 accounts, notice 26.07.2024 dated under Section 94 of BNSS, 2023, had been issued calling upon the banks concerned to provide the KYC details and other credentials of the said account holders and further, a prayer had been made to mark a lien of Rs.70,00,000/- each on the said accounts.

7. That thereafter, upon receipt of a communication dated 08.09.2025, from the Counsel for the petitioner, the contention of the communication was analyzed and thereafter, a request had been issued to the concerned bank to remove the lien marked on the said account belonging to the petitioner on 24.09.2025. The copy of the said communication is being appended to along with the present affidavit as Annexure R-1.

8. That the account aforementioned had been requested to be put on debit freeze, as the part of the duped amount, as duped from the complainant, had been found to be credited to the account of the petitioner company. Further, it is submitted that there were as many as 1800 complaints available on the NCRP Portal in which the account of the petitioner company had been a beneficiary on account of transfer of funds from the accounts of various complainants, pan India.”

6. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to press the present petition at this stage and



thus, prays for its disposal.

7. Accordingly, in view of the stand taken by the respective parties before this Court, instant petition is **disposed of**, as no fresh direction is required to be issued.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

October 08, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No