



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CRM-M-23746-2025  
DECIDED ON: 08.05.2025

RAVINDER

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Ankit Yadav, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.  
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Rana, Advocate and  
Mr. Vikas Kumar Rana, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

1. **Relief sought**

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. for grant of Regular Bail to the petitioner in FIR No.243 dated 25.08.2023 registered under Section 302& 379 IPC (later section 392, 201 & 34 IPC were added during investigation) at Police Station Hassanpur Palwal, (Annexure P-1), District Palwal, Haryana.

2. Prosecution story setup in the present case as per the version in the FIR as under:-

*I am Lalit Kumar son of Shri Vedprakash resident of village Likhi. We have a jewelry shop in the name of Lalit Jewelers near the Government Hospital on Jatoli Road in Hasanpur market. My father Vedprakash went to work at the shop in the morning from our home. On an average, my father reaches home in the village Likhi by 7 pm.*



*Today, when he was late, I called him but his phone was switched off. I called him several times but it was switched off. When I and my younger brother Vishvender reached the shop, we saw that some of the shop's goods were kept outside and the shop was locked from outside. We called our cousins Krishna and Tekchand and looked under the shutter. We saw our father Vedprakash's feet. We got scared. Then we broke the lock with the help of people and lifted the shutter and saw that our father Vedprakash was already dead. A noose of swapi was tied around his neck and hung from the hook of the almirah. The safe was broken and left open. All the ornaments and cash were missing from the safe, including 300 grams of gold, 6.5 kg to 10 kg silver was missing from the shop and father's phone and motorcycle BAJAJ DISCOVER HR-29-R0125 were also missing from the shop. Then we took father to the hospital in the car and informed the police that even before this, theft has taken place three times in the shop. The report of which is registered in Hasanpur police station. Sir, our shop's neighbor Dinesh who is the owner of D.K. MEDICAL STORE is resident of Atwa, has also quarreled with our father many times and today, when we talked to him for information, he started talking rudely to us and said that my shop will remain open tomorrow as well. Whoever wants to do whatever do it. It is my humble request to you that this is a very cruel and condemnable incident for our area. I am Lalit, my age is 16 years and my brother Vishvender whose age is 13 years and my younger sister Diksha Kumari whose age is 14 years. My father is our only caretaker. I humbly request you with folded hands that my family and my late father Vedprakash should get justice. I will be highly grateful. Date 24.08.2023.'*



### 3. Contentions

#### On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and was initially not named in the present FIR and the entire allegations are levelled against the main accused Dheeraj that he mixed some poisonous substance in the juice of the father of the complainant. He further submits that there is no eye witness to the alleged occurrence and also as per the post mortem report, no injuries were found on the deceased body.

#### On behalf of the State and complainant

On the other hand, learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner today in Court, which is taken on record. They seek dismissal of the instant petition on the ground that after the arrest of the petitioner, he got recovered the DVR and motorcycle bearing registration No. HR-29-R-0125, and the gold ornaments weighing about 10 Tolas and silver ornaments weighing about 2.5 kg.

### 4. Analysis and conclusion

In the present matter, the accusations against the petitioner are extremely serious, involving the alleged murder of the complainant's father. According to the supplementary statement recorded on 28.08.2023, it came to light that on 24.08.2023, the petitioner, together with Dheeraj, visited the victim's shop, secured the premises by closing the shutter from inside, administered a poisonous substance, and subsequently strangled the victim. They are also alleged to have stolen gold and silver items from the scene. Following the petitioner's arrest, he led the authorities to the recovery of the



motorcycle, the DVR, and the stolen valuables. These circumstances indicate the petitioner's direct involvement in the crime.

Given the direct allegations and the gravity of the offence, this Court is of the view that the petitioner does not deserve the benefit of regular bail at this stage, notwithstanding the period already undergone in custody.

Further, it is a settled principle that while considering a bail application in a case involving serious offences such as murder, the Court must consider the gravity of the offence, the nature of allegations, and the role attributed to the accused.

In light of the aforementioned circumstances, particularly the petitioner's role, no ground is made out for granting the relief of regular bail at this stage.

Accordingly, the present bail petition is dismissed for lack of merit.

Dismissed. No order as to costs.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.05.2025**

*anuradha (a)*

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*