

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

[171]

CWP-5340-2025**Date of Decision: 25.02.2025**

NEVADITA MALIK AND OTHERS

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

BAR COUNCIL OF PUNJAB AND HARYAN AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Ms. Nevadita Malik, Advocate (petitioner No.1) in person,
for the petitioners.

Mr.B.S.Rana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Manav Dhull, Advocate for respondent No.1.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The learned Senior Counsel representing co-respondent No.1, on instructions imparted to him by Shri. Munjal, Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana, submits that since the petitioner No.1-Nevadita Malik(Advocate), stands in blood relation to one Prikshit Malik(Advocate), inasmuch as both being siblings, who thus, on her behalf has tendered the subscription fee relating to her membership of Bar Association, Rohtak, therefore, when both are siblings, as such, vis-a-vis, the said relation, the relevant prohibitory condition is not attracted.
2. In sequel, the learned Senior Counsel representing co-respondent No.1 also submits that the name of petitioner No.1-Nevadita Malik(Advocate) shall be deleted from the list of ineligible voters.
3. Accepting the said submission, petitioner No.1-Nevadita Malik(Advocate), who is present in person, prays that the instant petition be disposed of, as the same becomes rendered infructuous.
4. Ordered, accordingly.



5. However, insofar as, the other petitioners i.e. petitioners No.2 to 7 are concerned, who are not related in blood, either with one Prikshit Malik (Advocate), or to one Harshvardhan Malik, yet with their subscription fee being tendered by either of supra, thus, makes their claim in the writ petition relating to theirs being yet not enlisted as voters in the voters' list, for the ensuing elections to the office bearers of the Bar Association, Rohtak rather is dismissed, but with liberty to them to access the permissible Civil Court remedy.

6. Pending application(s) is also disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

25.02.2025

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No