



204 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8089-2017

Date of Decision: 29.07.2025

Naveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to issue him appointment letter.

2. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab submits that selection process was concluded in 2016. The petitioner disclosed his marks of 10+2 as 73.1% whereas he had actually secured 60.9% marks. He was awarded 14 marks for 10+2 whereas he was entitled to 13 marks. The revision of marks took place on verification of documents. He was initially selected, however, on account of reduction of marks was not considered because he was younger in age than last selected candidate though he had secured marks equal to last selected candidate.

3. There was no representation of the petitioner on 24.07.2023 as well 11.05.2022 and even today, no one has caused appearance on

behalf of the petitioner. It appears that petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.07.2025

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No