



220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-929-1996 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.05.2025

Sukhdev Raj & Anr ... Appellant(s)

Versus

Sudershan Kumar & Ors ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Uday Vij, Advocate for the appellants.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. On 24.10.2024 the following order was passed :

“On the previous date the counsel for the appellants was directed to furnish fresh correct address(es) of respondents No.1 and 2. However, needful has not been done till date.

Today learned counsel for the appellants states that though he has written a letter to the appellants, however, the same has not been delivered to them.

In view thereof, let notice be issued to the appellants, returnable 23.01.2025.”

2. Today also the learned counsel for the appellants states that he has no instructions in the matter.

3. As per the office report the appellants remain unserved due to incomplete address. This is the only address which was given in the memo of parties as well as in the judgments and decrees of both the Courts. In view

thereof, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive in case anything survives in the matter. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

20.05.2025
Yogesh Sharma

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO