



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

351

CWP-6587-2003 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.08.2025

Harbhajan Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. With regard to prayer made for directing the respondents to release the pensionary benefits, learned State counsel refers to para 12 of the written statement filed by respondent No.3 wherein it has been stated that the pension case was received on 09.06.2003 in the office of the said respondent and the same was finalized by issuing gratuity, pension and commutation of pension on 18.06.2003, 25.06.2003 and 26.06.2003 respectively and thus, there was no delay on that account. However, insofar as the prayer for release of arrears of salary on account of retrospective promotion is concerned, reference is made to paras 6 and 16 of the written statement filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 wherein it has been stated that retrospective promotion had a specific stipulation of his pay to be fixed only notionally and in terms of para 3 of Instructions dated 22.10.1999, no arrears were admissible to him.

2. There is neither any replication filed nor could it be rebutted even during the course of arguments.

3. Learned counsel also submits that despite best efforts, he has been unable to establish contact with the petitioner.

4. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case need arises.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

22.08.2025

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No