



**215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13538-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.03.2025

RAJINDER SINGH @ RAJI

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Vishwajit Bedi, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This is the fourth petition filed under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 38 dated 08.04.2021 under Sections 302, 34, 328 and 201 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Amloh, Fatehgarh Sahih (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that this is the fourth petition seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner and the third petition bearing No. CRM-M-49726-2024 was dismissed on 08.11.2024. Successive regular bail petitions have been filed by the petitioner on the same cause of action. The aforementioned petition was dismissed on merits by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide a detailed judgment dated 08.11.2024. Prosecution has already examined 20 witnesses and has given up 05 witnesses out of total 35 prosecution witnesses.



CRM-M-13538-2025 (O&M)

-2-

3. In view of the above, no ground is made out for granting the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the present petition is dismissed.

4. However, in view of the fact that the petitioner is incarcerating since 13.04.2021, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, is directed to ensure the presence of remaining prosecution witnesses, before the learned trial Court on the next date of hearing.

5. Further, the learned trial Court is directed to take appropriate steps to ensure the presence of the witnesses and conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. A copy of this order be sent to the Court concerned through fax for compliance.

7. Pending CRM(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

8. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and the trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

18.03.2025
Ajay Goswami

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>