





Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

- “1. *In view of the aforesaid facts and statement of complainant qua compromise, I am of the considered view that the compromise effected between the parties appears to be without any threat or pressure from either side and is made out of free volition of the parties.*
2. *Yes, the complainant and all injured/victim and all the accused are party to the compromise.*
3. *As per the investigation any additional accused has not been added.*
4. *As per the report of Investigating Officer, accused has never been declared proclaimed offender in this case.*
5. *As per report of Investigating Officer, Sections 323 and 34 IPC were deleted.*
6. *As per the Investigating Officer, no investigation is pending against any of the accused and accused Jagdish and Savita Kumari were found innocent during the investigation.”*

Learned State counsel and counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in **“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and Hon’ble



CRM-M-2652-2024 (O&M)

-3-

Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others*"  
(2012) 10 SCC 303, the petition is allowed.

FIR No. 244, dated 10.12.2019 registered under Sections 498-A  
and 406 Indian Penal Code, Police Station Women District Faridabad,  
along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed  
qua the petitioner.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)  
JUDGE

04.09.2025  
Ajay Goswami

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*