



268

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-58884-2024**

Date of Decision:- 18.02.2025

**RAJWINDER SINGH**

...Petitioner

Vs.

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present:- Mr. Shubham Budania, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G, Haryana.

Mr. Mohit Jasuja, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**

1. Petitioner Rajwinder Singh has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. and 528 of BNSS for quashing of FIR No.57 dated 01.07.2023 under Sections 323, 406 and 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Mansa Devi Complex Panchkula (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise dated 12.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) and for quashing of all subsequent proceedings arisen therefrom.

2. Facts of case are, complainant Arti gave her statement that she was working as cook in Sector-6, Panchkula. She was married with Rajwinder in the year 2018. She was maltreated in the matrimonial home by her husband and in-laws family on account of their demand for money. She



was abused and physically assaulted. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 26.11.2024, petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula dated 31.01.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded, where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, coercion or undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioner- Rajwinder also confirmed this fact in his separate statement. Statement of SI Pardeep Kumar is also recorded who further confirmed that accused is not proclaimed offender in this case.

5. Therefore, from the report of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties amicably. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They will be able to live in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in 2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr., where it was explained that 'there can never be any hard and fast category which can



be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and FIR No.57 dated 01.07.2023, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A of IPC, registered at Mansa Devi Complex, Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)**  
**JUDGE**

**18.02.2025**

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.

Whether reportable: Yes/No