



265

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41055-2025**

**Date of Decision:05.08.2025**

Maninderjit Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Yashjot S. Dhaliwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.71 dated 31.07.2019 registered under Sections 21, 29, 59, 61, 85 of NDPS Act and Sections 7, 13, 08 of PC Act and Sections 384, 454, 380, 341, 342, 120-B, 201 of IPC, at Police Station Special Task Force, SAS Nagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that initially, the petitioner was arrested in the present case, however, he was subsequently granted the bail, vide order dated 06.12.2019 (Annexure P-2). Thereafter, the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial Court. He further contends that from 01.03.2023 to 05.09.2023, the petitioner was undergoing in-patient treatment at Rehabilitation Centre and due to this, he could not appear before the trial Court and his bail was cancelled on 11.04.2023. Ultimately, the petitioner was declared as proclaimed offender on 19.04.2024 (Annexure P-4).

He further contends that the petitioner was re-arrested on 07.01.2025 and is in custody for the last about 07 months. He further undertakes that the petitioner shall appear on each and every date of hearing and shall not remain absent from the trial Court, during the course of trial.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was on bail earlier. However, due to his non-appearance, his bail was ordered to be cancelled. Now, the petitioner is in custody for the last 07 months and he has assured the Court that he shall not remain absent from the trial Court, during trial.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.

(ii) The petitioner shall also file an affidavit before the trial Court that he shall remain present on each and every date of hearing.

(iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall surrender his passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case he is not holder of the same, he shall swear an affidavit to that effect.

(v) The petitioner shall also file his affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning his ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by him during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, he shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.

(vi) In case, the petitioner involves in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.

(vii) The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.

05.08.2025  
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No