



147

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6570-2025

Date of Decision: June 23, 2025

**OM PRAKASH AND ANOTHER** .....Petitioners  
Versus  
**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS** .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)**

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 read with Section 528 of the BNSS 2023, prayer has been made for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect life and personal liberty of the petitioners and their family members at the hands of respondent Nos.5 to 8.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representations (Annexures P-3 and P-5) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana appears on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Inspector General of Police, Hisar Range, to look into the representation (Annexures P-3 and P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

**23.06.2025**

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)  
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>