



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205

COCP-1677-2025

Date of Decision: 15.05.2025

Navgagandeep Singh (Clerk) and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Anindit Mitra and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. KK Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG, Punjab.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present Contempt Petition has been filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for taking an appropriate action against the respondents for willful and intentional disobedience of order dated 09.12.2024 (Annexure P-10) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-8193-2019 and other connected petitions including CWP-12912-2022, titled as 'Navgagandeep Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others' filed by the petitioners herein.

2. Vide abovesaid order dated 09.12.2024 (Annexure P-10), it was directed as under:-

“Keeping in view the above, the respondents are directed to give at least two chances to the petitioners to clear the Punjabi type test in ‘Raavi Font’. Both the test will be held within a gap of at least one month and the said process be completed within a period of four months from today. In case, in any of the Punjabi type test ‘Raavi Font’ undertaken, the petitioners passes the Punjabi type test in ‘Raavi Font’, the same will be treated having passed from the date the petitioners passed the Punjabi Type test in ‘Asees Font’ so as to be eligible for the benefit of increments. In case, any of the petitioner passes the Punjabi type test in ‘Raavi Font’ in any of the two connected cases chances, the



benefit of increment be given to them from the date they passed the Punjabi type test in 'Asees Font' with all consequential benefits. In case, any of the petitioner fails to pass the Punjabi type test, the respondents will be free to take any action which is needed under those circumstances."

(Emphasis added)

3. Learned counsel for the State has filed compliance report/reply dated 15.05.2025 by way of affidavit of Sh. Gurinder Singh Sodhi, Director School Education (Secondary), Punjab in Court today, which is taken on record. A copy thereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Para No. 4 of the aforesaid compliance report/reply dated 15.05.2025, reads as follows:-

"4. That in compliance of the above said order of Hon'ble Court, it is submitted that typing tests are conducted by the Language Department, Punjab. The department of answering respondents had written to the Language Department Punjab regarding conducting the typing test of the petitioners. The Language Department Punjab conducted typing test on 15.04.2025 and the petitioners along with other employees appeared in typing test but the test was cancelled owing to certain reasons and the result could not be declared. The typing test was conducted again on 05-05-2025 whereby the petitioners again appeared and all the petitioners passed the typing test as per the official result declared by the Language Department, Punjab. Accordingly, the petitioners have now been granted the benefit of increment with all consequential benefits as per the directions of Hon'ble Court. The departmental order pertaining to petitioner No. 1 dated 09.05.2025 (Annexure R-1/T), dated 09.05.2025 (Annexure R-2/T), petitioner No. 2 dated 08.05.2025 (Annexure R-3/T), dated 09.05.2025 (Annexure R-4/T) and petitioner No. 3 dted 08.05.2025 (Annexure R-5/T),dated 09.05.2025 (Annexure R-6/T) are annexed herewith for the kind consideration of the Hon'ble Court."

(Emphasis added)

5. It is now submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that insufficient compliance of the order dated 09.12.2024 has been made by the respondents, inasmuch as, the petitioners have not been granted the



consequential benefit of promotion ensuing from the passing of test. It is further submitted that vide order dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-12) juniors of the petitioners have been promoted.

6. Heard.

7. Keeping in view the averments made in para No. 4 of the compliance report/reply dated 15.05.2025, no cause survives in the present petition and the same stands **disposed of, accordingly.**

8. Rule stands discharged.

9. Needless to say, in case juniors of the petitioners have been promoted, it is open to the petitioners to take recourse to the appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

15.05.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No