

2025:PHHC:128452



- 1 -

CRM-M-33053-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M-33053-2025
Decided on : 15.09.2025**

SACHIN ALIAS DANGI

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sachin alias Dangi, aged about	168	27.02.2025	20(b) (ii) A of NDPS Act and Section 42 of the	Azad Nagar	Hisar



CRM-M-33053-2025

24 years			Prisons Act		
----------	--	--	-------------	--	--

2. On 23.06.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sachin alias Dangi, aged about 24 years	168	27.02.2025	20(b)(ii)A of NDPS Act and Section 42 of the Prisons Act	Azad Nagar	Hisar

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that 26.87 grams of Sulpha was allegedly recovered from the possession of co-accused Sandeep during a search of the footwear he was wearing. This recovery took place while Sandeep was present at the jail gate, awaiting entry in connection with another case. Subsequently, on the basis of Sandeep's disclosure statement, name of another accused, namely Amit, surfaced with the allegation that he had supplied the said contraband to Sandeep. Consequently, Section 29 of the NDPS Act was invoked against Amit for alleged criminal conspiracy.

**CRM-M-33053-2025**

Counsel further submits that this Court has already granted interim anticipatory bail to co-accused Amit, vide order dated 28.05.2025, passed in CRM-M-30307-2025 (Annexure P-2).

3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, while referring to paragraph No.3 of the impugned order dated 06.06.2025 rejecting bail, submits that the police has now concocted a new version, alleging that the recovered Sulpha was intended to be supplied by accused Sandeep to the petitioner. Counsel argues that this allegation appears to be baseless and is founded solely on the disclosure statement of the co-accused. It is contended that such a statement, without corroboration through any substantive evidence, cannot conclusively establish the petitioner's involvement or lead to his conviction.*

It is further submitted that petitioner is already known to the police, as reflected in the details of previous cases mentioned in paragraph No.10 of the petition. It is alleged that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case merely to inflate the number of cases registered against him. Since recovery of contraband has already been effected and no further recovery is required from the petitioner, custodial interrogation would serve no meaningful purpose. Thus, counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 15.09.2025.*

**CRM-M-33053-2025**

7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court”.

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 23.06.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 02.07.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2025:PHHC:128452



- 5 -

CRM-M-33053-2025

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 23.06.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

September 15, 2025

Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No