



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-1965-C-2025 in  
RSA-3593-2015  
Date of decision : 30.04.2025**

SANJEEV KUMAR & ANR

....Appellants

Versus

S.D.O. PUNJAB SLTATE ELECTRICITY BOARD & ORS ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate,  
Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for the applicants/appellants.

Ms. Karishna Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1 & 2 (PSPCL)

Mr. Vishal Singh Borwal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

**CM-1965-C-2025**

Present application has been filed under Order XXXIII Rule 3 CPC read with Section 151 CPC seeking disposal of the appeal as having been rendered infructuous in view of compromise between the parties, with a direction to official respondents No.1 and 2 to transfer the electricity connection in the name of the plaintiff Vinod Kumar Bali.

Counsels for the respondents, who are present, on instructions submit that they have no objection in case the appeal is disposed off in terms of the compromise and with the direction to respondents No.1 and 2.



In view of above, the application is allowed. Main appeal is taken on Board today itself.

**RSA-3593-2015**

Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 submits that in view of no objection given by defendant/respondent No.4-Vinod Kumar to plaintiff No.2-Vinod Kumar Bali, the electricity connection of the tube-well will be transferred in the name of Vinod Kumar Bali, within a period of **two weeks**.

2. In view of above, the present appeal is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

3. Respondents No.1 and 2 shall remain bound by the statement given by their counsel.

**April 30, 2025**

**Dpr**

**(Pankaj Jain)**

**Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No