



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

127-A

CRM-M-64373-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2025

KARAN PARTAP SINGLA AND ANOTHER PETITIONER(S)**VERSUS****PANKAJ SINGHANIA & OTHERS RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Rakesh Chopra, Advocate and
 Mr. Jashan Chopra, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

 Mr. Saurav Kanojia, Advocate
 for respondent No.1.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the order dated 10.08.2016 (Annexure P-2), whereby the petitioners were ordered to be summoned under Section 138 and 141 of Negotiable Instruments, Act, 1881.

The learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, submits that he confines his prayer seeking quashing of the summoning order on the ground that no notice was given to the petitioners at the time the delay in filing of the complaint was condoned.

The learned counsel for the complainant admits the said factum that in fact no notice was given to the petitioners prior to the passing of the summoning order.

In view of the stand taken, the present petition is allowed and the summoning order dated 10.08.2016 and all subsequent orders arising



therefrom stands quashed.

The Trial Court shall prior to the passing of the fresh summoning order hear the petitioners on the question of delay in filing of the complaint.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

The Trial Court is requested to adjudicate upon the application for condonation of delay within a period of 04 weeks from the next date of hearing fixed before it.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

21.08.2025

Kusum

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>