

2025:PHHC:062007



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-6644-2025

Date of decision: May 12, 2025

SURAJ

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Shubham Mehta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab with  
ASI Kulwinder Singh.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.241 dated 19.11.2024 under Sections 109, 118(1), 118(2), 126(2), 127(2), 351(2), 351(3), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.
2. On 05.02.2025, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under: -

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that a perusal of the FIR in question reveals that the petitioner has not been named therein nor attributed any injury/role; after some of the accused were arrested, they suffered a disclosure statement nominating three other persons as being one of the unknown assailants. Subsequently, another disclosure statement was made by co-accused Nitin, who had been nominated as an accused in the disclosure statement suffered by prime accused*



*Pawan, who nominated the petitioner as being one of the conspirators to the crime. Learned counsel has submitted that even as per the disclosure statement allegedly suffered by accused Nitin, the petitioner has only been attributed the role of a conspirator.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 05.02.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 05.02.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

**May 12, 2025**  
*Jaspreet Kaur*

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*

*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*