



205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-3695-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.08.2025

Pankaj Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

1. The appellant has filed the present appeal against the impugned order dated 25.10.2024 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal, whereby the petition filed by the appellant for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to him in a case arising out of FIR No.62 dated 04.03.2021, under Sections 323, 341, 34, 506 of IPC and under Sections 3(2) (va) of SC and ST Act, Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal (Annexure A-1) was ordered to be dismissed.

2. By the Coordinate Bench vide order dated 13.11.2024 the appellant had been granted interim bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under :-



“Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the appellant was not named in the FIR nor any specific role has been attributed to him. He further contends that Rinku @ Kuldeep, main accused had filed a petition before this Court with a prayer to grant of concession of anticipatory bail and vide order dated 17.09.2021 (Annexure A-3), he was admitted to concession of anticipatory bail, passed by this Court. Learned counsel for the appellant has referred the compromise deed (Annexure A-2) dated 07.06.2021 to contend that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties and the custody of the appellant will not serve any meaningful purpose.”

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in compliance of order dated 13.11.2024, the appellant has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
4. Status report by way of affidavit of Shatrujeet Kapur, IPS, Director General of Police, Haryana, filed on behalf of the respondent-State, is taken on record.
5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Baljit Singh does not dispute the factum of the appellant having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He on further instructions submits that the appellant is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.
6. In view of the above, the appeal is allowed and interim order dated 13.11.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in



Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

12.08.2025

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Satyawan

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No