



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

COCP No.1367 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision :-06.08.2025

Ravinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

**Sh. Sujjan Singh, IAS, Director State Transport, Haryana and
another**

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Naveen Jhajholia, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent(s) for not complying the order dated 25.10.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-29103-2024 titled as “Ravinder Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and another ” vide which the petition was disposed of with a direction “...to the respondents to decide the representation dated 10.7.2024 (Annexure P-9), taking note of the afore-referred judgment, expeditiously.”

At the very outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance of the order dated 25.10.2024 (Annexure P-1) a Speaking Order dated 25.2.2025 (Annexure R-1) has been passed by the respondent-authority whereby the case of the



petitioner has been rejected. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may be disposed of, however, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to challenge the said Speaking Order.

Short reply dated 09.4.2025 by way of affidavit of Shri Vikram Kamboj, General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Panipat has already been filed in the Registry, which is annexed with the file. The same is ordered to be taken on record. Copy thereof is supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner(s). Registry to tag/paginate the same at appropriate place in the paper-book.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that necessary compliance has been made, while passing a speaking order dated 25.2.2025 (Annexure R-1) by the respondent-authority; thus, no cause survives in the present petition.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, the same is **disposed of** accordingly.

The petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the aforesaid Speaking Order as per law.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

August 06, 2025

Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No