



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

227

RSA-3446-2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.07.2025

Punjab State Electricity Board and another Appellants

Versus

M/s JMP Castings Ltd. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Tejinder Joshi, Advocate with
Mr. Rampal, Advocate for the respondents.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The defendant-appellants are in second appeal against the concurrent judgments of both the Courts below whereby the suit filed by the plaintiff-respondent was decreed.
2. The matter pertains to the year 2011.
3. Perusal of the order-sheet(s) reveals that earlier this second appeal was dismissed for non-prosecution by a co-ordinate Bench vide order dated 08.12.2014 as, the matter was consistently being adjourned either at the request of learned counsel for the appellants or on account of non-appearance on behalf of the appellants. However, upon an application moved by the appellants, the same was restored vide order dated 05.01.2015. Thereafter, the present appeal was listed for hearing 06 times i.e. on 15.05.2015, 29.09.2015, 31.07.2017, 07.08.2019, 20.04.2023 and 25.03.2025, but the same was adjourned either at the request of learned counsel for the appellants or at the request of learned counsel for the respondent. On the last date of hearing i.e. 25.03.2025, the case was



adjourned at the request of learned counsel for the appellants. Today, yet another request for an adjournment has been made by learned counsel for the appellants, which is unjustifiable.

4. A bare reading of the above facts shows that the appellants have exhibited an utterly casual attitude in their pursuit of the present litigation. It is to be appreciated that very valuable public time of the Court has been expended in affording opportunities to the appellants in the interest of justice. On the other hand, the appellants have adopted an utterly casual and careless approach. It is clear that neither the appellants nor their counsel are seriously interested in pursuing the present matter. Thus, this Court is left with no other option except to **dismiss** the same for non-prosecution.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

21.07.2025
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No