



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 3539 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.07.2025**

Mohd. Yamin **...Petitioner**

Versus

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran and others **...Respondents**

AND

CR No. 3543 of 2025 (O&M)

Rajesh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran and others **...Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner (in both petitions).

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. This order shall dispose of the afore-titled revision petitions, both preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India seeking a direction to the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Panipat to decide the applications preferred under Orders 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short 'CPC') in Civil Suit No. 1626 of 2024, titled Mohd. Yamin Vs. HSVP and others and Civil Suit No. 1620 of 2024, titled Rajesh Vs. HSVP and others.

2. At the outset, while referring to the interlocutory orders passed by the trial Court, learned counsel for the petitioner (s) submits that though the application(s) under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC were filed in both cases and reply to the said applications were also filed, the trial Court framed issues on the basis of the pleadings of the parties and did not decide

the applications for the grant of injunction. He submits that a direction be issued to the trial Court to decide the said applications within a fixed time frame.

3. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner(s).

4. Concededly, alongwith the suits filed by the petitioner(s), applications under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC were filed. Still further, service in both cases was completed and written statements along with reply to the applications for stay were filed. Admittedly, the applications under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC have not been decided and the matters have been posted for evidence of the plaintiff.

5. Having considered the matter, the present revision petitions are disposed of with a direction to the Court concerned to decide the applications under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC expeditiously and preferably within two months from the next date fixed in the matters.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

July 01, 2025
Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No