



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-65465-2024
Date of decision: 04.03.2025

Meena ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Puneet Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS 2023 seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.257 dated 18.05.2024 under Section 302, 323, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

2. The allegations in brief are that there was dispute between Ashok Kumar and cousin brother Anil Kumar with regard to certain property and complainant Sunil Kumar who is brother of Anil Kumar reported to the police that on 17.05.2024, all the accused including petitioner Meena wife of Ashok Kumar attacked Anil Kumar and his wife Yashoda and caused injuries to them and Yashoda died on account of the said injuries. During investigation, the petitioner was arrested.

3. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, inter alia submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and even as per the allegations appearing in the FIR, no fatal injury is attributed to the



petitioner who was stated to be armed with wooden stick and only allegations against her are that she gave wooden stick blows to Anil Kumar. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a lady aged about 36 years and is in custody for the last more than 9 months and is having no criminal history and it will take time for the trial to conclude and thus, no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period. So, prayer is made that the petitioner be released on regular bail, pending trial.

4. The status report filed on behalf of the State by way of affidavit of Randhir Singh, DSP, Ladwa (Kurukshetra) is taken on record along with documents Annexure R-1 to R-4.

5. The present petition is resisted by the State counsel who submits that the petitioner actively participated in the occurrence which resulted into death of Yashoda. It is further submitted that at the time of occurrence, the petitioner was armed with wooden stick with which she caused injuries to Anil Kumar husband of Yashoda. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is incarcerated for the last more than 9 months and is not involved in any other criminal case and that it will take time for the final disposal of the trial.

6. I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties.

7. The exact complicity of the petitioner will be unfolded during trial as apparently, no fatal injury is attributed to her and the only allegations appearing in the FIR against her are that she attacked Anil Kumar and caused injuries on his person with wooden stick. Apparently, no specific injury on the person of Yashoda is attributed to the present



petitioner who is having no criminal antecedents and is behind bars for the last more than 9 months and it will take time for the trial to terminate. In the given circumstances, no purpose is going to be served by prolonging judicial custody of the present petitioner.

8. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

04.03.2025

Yogesh

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**