



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

CRWP-6035-2025

Date of Decision: 06.06.2025

Jeenat and Another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present Mr. Nafees Ahmad, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Jeenat and Mohd. Shokeen have filed present petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 10.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 15.04.2003. On the other hand, as per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 01.01.1992. They had performed marriage on 27.05.2025. Translated copy of Certificate of Marriage is Annexure P-3. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 10. Petitioners also moved representation to the Superintendent of Police, District Palwal (Haryana) which is Annexure P-4.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.



4. On advance notice, Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hand of respondents No. 4 to 10. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent No. 2 is directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 03.06.2025 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

06.06.2025

ritu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>