



113

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**CWP-17048-2025
Decided on:03.07.2025

Neelam

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondent (through video conferencing).

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

On 01.07.2025, following order was passed:

*“Despite the fact that the case was called three times,
no one appears on behalf of the petitioner.*

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 03.07.2025.

*It is made clear that in case no one appears on behalf
of the petitioner on the next date of hearing, appropriate
order on the basis of the pleadings already on record will
be passed.”*

Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Hence, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in
pursuing the present writ petition.

2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

3. Any civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands
disposed of.03.07.2025
sonia**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**Whether speaking/non-speaking?
Whether reportable?Yes
No