

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:083666



109

**CRWP-7311-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.07.2025.**

Bhupinder Singh ...Petitioner.
Versus
State of Punjab and others ...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. J.S. Mundi, Advocate for the petitioner
(through video conferencing).

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents; with further direction to decide the representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P8).

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that in pursuance of General Power of Attorney dated 11.03.2022 executed by respondent No.4 in favour of respondent No.5, he (respondent No.5) leased out the land of respondent No.4 to the petitioner as well as Harkeerat Singh and Mandeep Singh and two registered lease deeds were executed on 27.05.2024, in terms of which land measuring 25 Kanal 7 Marlas of village Sawara was leased out for 20 years @ Rs.50,000/- per year with acceleration 5% interest every 5 years, whereas land measuring 80 Kanals 17 Marlas was leased out for 20 years @ Rs.1,20,000/- per year with acceleration 5% interest every 5 years. The petitioner paid Rs.20,000/- to respondent No.5 at the time registration of leased deeds and remaining Rs.1,50,000/- were to be paid to him at the time of

taking possession on 27.05.2024 itself. Thereafter on 17.08.2024, respondent No.5 asked the petitioner that he is in dire need of money and demanded Rs.9,00,000/- as advanced lease amount of next five years, which was paid by the petitioner. Thereafter, in the first week of September, 2024, the petitioner was handed over possession of the leased land after payment of balance amount of Rs.1,50,000/- through cheque dated 06.09.2024. Respondent No.5 did not intentionally presented the said cheque and returned the same to the petitioner saying that its validity has expired, whereas the same was valid till 05.12.2024. On 21.11.2024, the petitioner transferred Rs.1,50,000/- in the bank account of respondent No.5, however on the next day, respondent No.5 returned the said amount to the petitioner in cash. Now, respondents No.4 and 5 are threatening the petitioner to cancel the lease deeds and deliver back the possession of the said land. The petitioner by working hard has made the land in question cultivable, but respondents No.4 and 5 got issued a show cause notice from the police to the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner filed a civil suit, wherein stay was granted in favour of the petitioner vide order dated 18.01.2025. As a counterblast to the stay order, petitioner has been falsely implicated in FIR No.13 dated 28.02.2025, under Sections 308(2), 318(4) of BNS, registered at Police Station PS NRI, District SAS Nagar. The said FIR has been lodged after a delay of four months, wherein the petitioner has been granted bail vide order dated 21.03.2025. The dispute is purely of civil in nature as there is no allegation of extortion or cheating. Apart from the civil suit of the petitioner, respondent No.4 also filed a civil suit challenging the registered lease deeds. The private respondents are threatening the petitioner and trying to dispossess him from the leased property and the threatening and blackmailing by respondents No.4 to 7 has worsened as now some unknown persons have started following the petitioner wherever he travels. One day,

some unknown persons blocked the car of the petitioner and somehow the petitioner could manage to save his life by running from the spot after parking his car outside Police Section Sector-71, SAS Nagar. Even some unknown persons are also seen roaming outside the house of the petitioner and there is constant fear to the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members. The petitioner has made representations dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P6) and 11.04.2025 (Annexure P7) to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken. Thereafter, the petitioner moved detailed representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-8) before respondent No.2, but no action on the same has been taken till date.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied if the representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-8), which had already been moved by the petitioner was looked into.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and submitted that she has no objection if the aforesaid representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-8) is looked into.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS, Nagar (Mohali), to look into the aforesaid representation and to take appropriate action as per law, if any required, at the earliest.

Disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

11.07.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No