



CRM-M-11801-2025 (O&M)

-1-

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11801-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 02.09.2025

Ghasi Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Viney Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikas Saroha, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner – Ghasi Ram has filed petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 376 dated 03.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Section 80(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Kheri Pul, District Faridabad, Haryana.

2. As per the facts of case, FIR has been registered on the statement of Prem Chand who stated that his elder daughter Sonam aged about 24 years was married to Krishan Kumar on 17.02.2022. He had given dowry as per his status at the time of marriage by spending about Rs. 22 lacs. Soon after marriage, his daughter was ill-treated and beaten up by her husband Krishan Kumar, Ghasi Ram (father-in-law) (petitioner), Nathi Devi (mother-in-law), Sandeep Kumar (brother-in-law) and Suman alias Chimpi (sister-in-law). The in-laws family turned out to be greedy persons.



CRM-M-11801-2025 (O&M)

-2-

He tried to make them understand but there was no change in their behaviour. His daughter was repeatedly ill-treated in matrimonial home. Recently, he had given Rs. 2 lacs to in-laws family so that his daughter could live peacefully. Their illegal demand for dowry did not stop. On 02.09.2024, all accused persons in conspiracy with each other gave beatings to his daughter and was sent to her parental house forcibly by telling her to get her share in her parental family. He expressed his inability to give more money and on this her daughter told him that all of them would kill her. After convincing Krishan Kumar-husband, he came and took his daughter alongwith him. On 03.09.2024, all accused persons murdered his daughter. She was found hanging from a noose. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that petitioner is father-in-law of deceased victim. He was arrested on 04.09.2024 and was kept in police custody and thereafter, till date he is in judicial custody. A false FIR has been registered in connivance with police. Facts of the case were not rightly considered by the trial Court while dismissing 2nd regular bail application filed by petitioner by passing order dated 10.02.2025 (Annexure P-3). Observation given by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad is without any justification. Petitioner is an old man having various ailments. He is behind the bars for last about one year. Conclusion of trial may take long time. Recording of prosecution evidence has not started as yet. It is submitted that petitioner will abide by terms of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.



During the pendency of present bail petition, petitioner had also filed CRM-18953-2025, seeking conditional release of petitioner on medical emergency of his wife who is allegedly suffering from mental disorder, dementia schizophrenia and is under medication for 15 years. Petitioner being head of the family is to take care of his mentally ill wife, son and daughter who all are dependent on him.

4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State of Haryana assisted by learned counsel for complainant. As per status report, FIR was lodged after thorough investigation. Copy of Postmortem Report is Annexure P-10. Investigation was completed and challan was presented on 28.11.2024. At the time of filing the status report, even charges were not framed. Therefore, considering the gravity of offence and aforesaid factual position, bail application filed by petitioner was rightly rejected.

5. I have considered the prayer for regular bail as well as interim bail claimed by petitioner. Facts of the case and gravity of offence cannot be overlooked. Present petitioner is father-in-law of deceased victim. Marriage of victim took place with Krishan Kumar son of present petitioner on 17.02.2022. There are allegations of continuous maltreatment and unfortunately on 03.09.2024, victim died unnatural death in matrimonial home. At present, case is pending for recording of prosecution evidence. Statements of complainant and other material witnesses are yet to be recorded. So far as illness of wife of petitioner is concerned, that can be looked into by other family members of petitioner. A young girl allegedly lost her life in matrimonial home on account of continuous maltreatment on



CRM-M-11801-2025 (O&M)

-4-

account of demand of dowry. Therefore, considering the aforesaid factual position, at this stage, I do not find a fit case for grant of regular bail to petitioner Ghasi Ram and accordingly, petition filed by him alongwith CRM-18953-2025 for grant of interim bail stands dismissed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

02.09.2025

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No