



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

Date of decision: 14.10.2025

1. CRM-M-43354-2025
PRINCE ...Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent
2. CRM-M-45421-2025
SAHIL ...Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent
3. CRM-M-46982-2025
MUKESH ...Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aashish Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of above noted three petitions, as the same have emanated out of the same occurrence.



2. Prayer in these petitions under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.218 dated 20.12.2024 registered under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS at Police Station Bahu Akbarpur, Rohtak.

3. Status reports dated 13.10.2025 by way of affidavit of Rishab Sodhi, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Meham, District Rohtak have been filed on behalf of respondent-State in all the three petitions respectively and the same are taken on record.

4. On 08.08.2025 in CRM-M-43354-2025, following order was passed:-

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case bearing FIR No.218 dated 20.12.2024 registered under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS at Police Station Bahu Akbarpur, Rohtak.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Vasundhra Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate appears for the complainant and files his power of attorney which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per allegations in the FIR, the father of the petitioner namely Mukesh had allegedly taken about ₹ 25 lakh from deceased-Parveen with a promise to get his children employed in Government job by misusing the name of Ram Chander Jangra. The money was allegedly paid to the friend of the deceased namely Sanjay Bedva but neither his son was employed nor father of the accused returned the money. It has been alleged that Mukesh father of the present petitioner, his brother Sahil and the present petitioner have formed a cartel and cheated the deceased of ₹ 25 lakh. Learned counsel further contended that no ingredients of offence under Section 306 IPC are made out as there is no allegation of incitement or instigation to deceased at the hands of the accused so as to abet the suicide. The petitioner has been named only once in the entire suicide note and there is no allegation that the



money had been handed over to him. The allegations against him are vague in nature. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

Learned State counsel assisted by the counsel for the complainant argued that mens rea is necessary ingredient to make out the offence and since the accused persons cheated the deceased and did not return the amount, he was forced to take extreme step of committing suicide and the offence under Section 306 IPC is made out.

Without commenting on the merits of the case but in view of the contentions raised by the counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating officer. However, the petitioner shall comply with the requirement of Section 482(2) of BNSS/438(2) of Cr.P.C..

Now be listed on 14.10.2025.

5. On 20.08.2025 in CRM-M-45421-2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case bearing FIR No.218 dated 20.12.2024 registered under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS at Police Station Bahu Akbarpur, Rohtak.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. R.K. Ambavta, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate appears for the complainant and files his power of attorney which is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per allegations in the FIR, the father of the petitioner namely Mukesh had allegedly taken about 25 lakh from deceased-Parveen with a promise to get his children employed in Government job by misusing the name of Ram Chander Jangra. The money was allegedly paid to the friend of the deceased namely Sanjay Bedva but neither his son was employed



nor father of the accused returned the money. It has been alleged that Mukesh, father of the present petitioner, his brother Sahil and the present petitioner have formed a cartel and cheated the deceased of 25 lakh. Learned counsel further contended that no ingredients of offence under Section 306 IPC are made out as there is no allegation of incitement or instigation to deceased at the hands of the accused so as to abet the suicide. The petitioner has been named only once in the entire suicide note and there is no allegation that the money had been handed over to him. The allegations against him are vague in nature. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

5. Learned State counsel assisted by the counsel for the complainant argued that mens rea is necessary ingredient to make out the offence and since the accused persons cheated the deceased and did not return the amount, he was forced to take extreme step of committing suicide and the offence under Section 306 IPC is made out.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case but in view of the contentions raised by the counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating officer. However, the petitioner shall comply with the requirement of Section 482(2) of BNSS/438(2) of Cr.P.C.

*7. Now be listed on **14.10.2025**.*

*8. To be heard alongwith **CRM-M-43354-2025**.”*

6. On 26.08.2025 in CRM-M-46982-2025, following order was passed:-
“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case bearing FIR No.218 dated 20.12.2024 registered under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Bahu Akbarpur, Rohtak.
Notice of motion.



Ms. Vasundhra Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate appears for the complainant and files his power of attorney which is taken on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner namely Mukesh had allegedly taken about Rs.25 lakh from deceased- Parveen with a promise to get his children employed in Government job by misusing the name of Ram Chander Jangra. The money was allegedly paid to the friend of the deceased namely Sanjay Bedva but neither his son was employed nor the accused returned the money. It has been alleged that Mukesh – present petitioner, his sons Sahil and Prince, have formed a cartel and cheated the deceased of Rs.25 lakh. Learned counsel further contended that no ingredients of offence under Section 306 IPC are made out as there is no allegation of incitement or instigation to deceased at the hands of the accused so as to abet the suicide. False allegations have been levelled regarding payments. The allegations against him are vague in nature. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

Learned State Counsel assisted by the counsel for the complainant argued that mens rea is necessary ingredient to make out the offence and since the accused persons cheated the deceased and did not return the amount, he was forced to take extreme step of committing suicide and the offence under Section 306 IPC is made out and petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

Reliance has also been placed upon judgments of this Court in CRM-M-26631 of 2019 – Dharmender Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 23.07.2019 and CRM-M-26701, 27607 of 2019 – Amritpal Singh and other Vs. State of Punjab decided on 12.07.2019.

Without commenting on the merits of the case but in view of the contentions raised by the counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is



hereby directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall comply with the requirement of Section 482(2) of BNSS/ 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

Now be listed on 14.10.2025.

To be heard along with CRM-M-43354 of 2025.”

7. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation, in compliance of the orders dated 08.08.2025, 20.08.2025 and 26.08.2025 and are no longer required for further investigation.

8. In view of the aforesaid, the orders dated 08.08.2025, 20.08.2025 and 26.08.2025, whereby the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail, are hereby made absolute. However, they shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

9. All the three petitions stand disposed of.

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

11. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

14.10.2025
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No