



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

223

COCP-498-2025

Date of Decision: 28.08.2025

Dharmraj

.... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Vivek Joshi

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Ms. Santosh Miglani, Advocate for the petitioner
(through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging violation of order dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-4) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-8610-2023 titled as 'Dharmraj vs. State of Haryana and others', whereby it is directed as follows:-

"4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that in case, the petitioner files a representation with regard to the aforesaid relief within a period of four weeks, the same shall be decided expeditiously, in accordance with law."

2. It is submitted that despite the clear direction reproduced above, the representation of the petitioner has not been decided till date. Thus, it is prayed that contempt proceedings be initiated against the respondent for not complying the order dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-1).

3. Learned counsel for the respondent controverts the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and refers to the compliance affidavit dated 01.03.2025 of Sh. NR Phullay, Deputy Excise



and Taxation Commissioner, Gurugram (East), Haryana, wherein it has been stated that in compliance of the order dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) representation of the petitioner stands decided vide speaking order dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure R-1, at page Nos. 47 and 48 of the paper-book) passed by the Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Gurugram (East), Haryana. Learned counsel for the respondents submits thus, that the order dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) stands duly complied with and nothing survives in the present petition.

4. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, the same stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

5. The petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the aforesaid speaking order dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure R-1), in accordance with law.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

28.08.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No