



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

250

CRM-M-36454-2019

Date of Decision:- 05.03.2025

Vikram

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Chander Kant Rana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Learned counsel representing State on instructions from ASI Santosh Kumari, 61/PM, Mahila Police Station, Panipat states that Murti Devi (respondent No.3), the complainant in the FIR has expired on 30.05.2023. It is further pointed out that till date prosecution has already examined five witnesses including the alleged victim (respondent No.2).

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for petitioner states that he intends to withdraw the present petition seeking quashing of FIR No.80 dated 31.07.2018, registered under Sections 354, 354-D, 354-A, 506, 190 of IPC and Sections 8 & 12 of the POCSO Act, 2012 at Police Station Women Panipat, District Panipat on merits with liberty to take all available pleas before learned trial Court.

3. In view of the statement, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

05.03.2025

sunil devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No