



253

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23254-2025

Date of Decision:06.05.2025

PARAS BHATTI @ PARAS

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.ShekhawatPresent : Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.200 dated 29.12.2024, registered under Sections 304, 351(2), 3(5), 317(2), 309, 188(1), 238 (added later on) BNS, 2023, Police Station Cantonment, Amritsar.

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Jagpreet Singh @ Jaggi, son of Sewa Singh and the same has been reproduced below:-

“Statement of Jagpreet Singh @ Jaggi son of Sewa Singh resident of 521 Gali Desa Singh Wali, Kot Khalsa Amritsar aged about 34 years stated that I am the resident of the said address and I am driving an auto rickshaw. Yesterday at around 9 o'clock in the night I was coming from Putlighar Chowk towards Balmik Murti, in the meantime four young person gave me hand on the



way a little further from Pultighar Chowk and I stopped my auto and the four told me that they were go to Nut-Peer Chowk, Court Road. I made them sit in my auto rickshaw, then on the way they told me that their houses were in Railway Quarters A-Block and we be dropped at Railway Quarters and we would pay Rs. 100/- for that. After crossing Saint Francis School, I turned my auto rickshaw towards A-Block Railway Quarters, when my auto covered some distance they told me to stop the auto rickshaw as they want to be dropped here. When I stopped my auto rickshaw they all came down from the auto rickshaw, then one boy engulfed me and the second boy caught my right arm and twisted the same and the third boy took out datar from his backside and he threatened me to handover whatever I was having in my possession. When I refused to that, they all started beating me, in the meantime the person holding the datar gave me datar blow which hit on my chin, he gave another blow with datar on me, in order to rescue I raised my hand which hit me on the thumb of my left hand, I was bleeding profusely and the fourth boy forcibly took out mobile phone maker OPPO A18 color black bearing No. 7837287736 Airtel from my pocket and the other boy who twisted my arm took out Rs.450/- from the pocket of my pant, the person who took out the mobile phone from my pocket was being called as Paras, while the person who gave me datar blows was being called as Sanju. Thereafter all the four persons ran towards Gwal Mandi after giving me threats of life, I can identify the persons in case they are brought before me. Then I along with my known ones took a docket from the Police Station and got my medical conducted from the Civil Hospital Amritsar and went back home. I was very scared yesterday due to which I could not get my statements recorded. Today I have recorded my statements to you. Action be taken against them. Statements have been recorded, heard and are correct. Sd/- Jagpreet Singh.”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case only on the basis of suspicion. He next contends that in the present case, the complainant was medico-legally examined and it was found that all the injuries suffered by the complainant were simple in nature. Even he already stands discharged from the hospital and is hale and hearty. After completion of investigation, the challan has been presented against the petitioner and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. In the present case, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 05 months and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused Simranjit Singh @ Manu and Shubham @ Mard have been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide orders Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the



facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.

(iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall surrender his passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case he is not holder of the same, he shall swear an affidavit to that effect.

(v) The petitioner shall also file his affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning his ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by him during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, he shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.

(vi) In case, the petitioner is involved in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.

(vii) The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.

8. In case, the petitioner violates any of the conditions mentioned above, it shall be viewed seriously and the concession of bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled and the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an application in this regard.

06.05.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No