



108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-919-2010 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.09.2025

Jai Bhagwan

...Appellant

Versus

Gurdial Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 09.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present:- Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.1.*

Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the present appeal has been filed by the driver and has submitted that on 30.06.2010, the execution was stayed only against the present appellant i.e., driver and that there was no stay in favour of the owner of the vehicle and it was always open to respondent No.1-claimant to have recovered the amount from the owner.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1-claimant prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter as to whether the amount has already been recovered from the



owner or not.

Adjourned to 24.09.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.

09.09.2025”

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has placed on record a copy of the stay order dated 30.06.2010 which is taken on record and marked as Mark “A”.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant, in view of the submissions made on 09.09.2025 and also the fact that there was no stay in favour of the owner of the vehicle, has submitted that the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the case in case respondent No.1-claimant claims any amount against the present appellant or files any execution application against the present appellant.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

24.09.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No