



CWP-29798-2022

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

CWP-29798-2022

Date of Decision: 08.01.2025

Pardeep and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Sarva Haryana Gramin Bank and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Deepak Vashisth, Advocate
Mr. Rahul Gautam, Advocate and
Mr. Sunil Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners

Ms. Preeti Grover, Advocate
for Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to the respondents to extend them benefit of *ex-gratia* policy dated 18.02.2019 (Annexure P-5).

2. The petitioners claim that on account of death of father of petitioner No.1, the petitioners are entitled to benefits accruing from *ex-gratia* policy dated 18.02.2019 framed by the respondent. The petitions have been denied benefit of *ex-gratia* policy on the ground that death of deceased employee took place prior to circulation of aforesaid policy.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per letter dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-10) issued by the respondents, the respondents would consider claim of all the employees who have died or suffered from medical illness during five years preceding the date of issuance of *ex-gratia* policy dated 18.02.2019.

**CWP-29798-2022****-2-**

4. On being confronted with aforesaid letter dated 26.09.2023, learned counsel for the respondents submits that competent authority would reconsider claim of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents to pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within three months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.01.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No