



CRM-M-25401-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

244

CRM-M-25401-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.05.2025

Parminder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. G.S.Kaura, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S.Walia, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present third petition has been filed under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, 'Cr.P.C.')[now under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS')] for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No. 94 dated 13.07.2023 (P-1), under Sections 308, 427 and 323 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC')[Section 325 IPC added later on], registered at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Rupnagar.

2. Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate dated 14.05.2025 and the same is taken on record.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. Allegations are that petitioner hit his Scorpio car with the motorcycle of the complainant from backside with an intention to run over him due to which complainant received multiple grievous injuries.

4. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 24.11.2023; final report under Section 173 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has already been presented on 03.01.2024; charges were framed on 21.08.2024; but out of total 17 prosecution witnesses, only two have been examined till date.



CRM-M-25401-2025

2

Lastly contended that conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time; thus, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the prayer while submitting that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature; hence, he does not deserve the concession of bail pending trial.

6. Heard and perused the paper-book.

7. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 24.11.2023; final report under Section 173 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has already been presented on 03.01.2024; charges were framed on 21.08.2024; but out of total 17 prosecution witnesses, only two have been examined till date. As the conclusion of trial may take sufficient long time; therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

15.05.2025

Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No