



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

RSA-4328-2000

Decided on: 18.02.2025

Banwari Lal

... Appellant

Versus

Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPRESENT: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.Mr. Tushar Gera, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. A perusal of the paperbook would show that the suit in the present case was filed by the present appellant-plaintiff in the year 1996 and the first Appellate Court vide judgment dated 15.06.2000 had dismissed the appeal of the plaintiff-appellant. A further perusal of the file would show that there is no stay operating in favour of the appellant.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellant nor the appellant has approached the office of the counsel for the appellant and thus, he prays that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage but liberty may be granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

18.02.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?Yes/No
Yes/No**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**