



**279 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17269-2021

Date of decision: 23.01.2025

AMAN KUMAR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. HPS Ishar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.134 dated 14.03.2019 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Section 66-D of I.T. Act (Sections 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Section 66-D I.T. Act added later on), registered at Police Station Sector 9-A, Gurugram, District Gurugram on the basis of compromise dated 22.10.2020 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned State counsel at the very outset submits that the present petition has been filed on behalf of two accused and one of the accused, namely, Rahul is not a party in the present petition and the same is not maintainable as judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court, prohibiting partial compromise.

3. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of being not maintainable. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to file a fresh petition seeking quashing of the FIR (*supra*) on the basis of compromise after impleading all the stakeholders.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

January 23, 2025

manisha

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No