

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Arbitration Case No. 141 of 2010
Date of decision:-27.09.2013

M/s Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

BSF Cooperative House Building Society Limited

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Mukul Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surya Kant Gautam, Advocate,
for the respondent.

* * * *

SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, C.J. (ORAL)

The dispute inter se the parties arises from the collaboration agreement dated 22.10.1992 which contains arbitration clause 32 (a). The arbitration clause is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondent who only submits on merits that the claims made by the petitioner are not admissible. However, that would be an aspect to be decided by an arbitrator.

It is proposed jointly by learned counsels for the parties that the venue of the arbitration may be at Chandigarh and a retired High Court Judge may be appointed as the arbitrator.

In view of the aforesaid, by consent of the parties, Mr. Justice K.S. Garewal (Retired), H. No. 169, Sector 11, Chandigarh is appointed as the sole arbitrator to enter upon reference and adjudicate the disputes inter se the parties.

The fee of the arbitrator shall be as per the Punjab and Haryana High Court (Arbitrator's Panel and Fee) Rules, 2011.

The petition accordingly stands disposed of.

A copy of the order be sent to the arbitrator.

(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL)
CHIEF JUSTICE

27.09.2013

Amodh