



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

**Review Applicaton No. RS-23 of 2021
In Regular Second Appeal No. 1631 of 2017**

Date of Decision: 27.02.2025

Narinder Kumar

... Applicant/Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate
for the applicant/appellant(s).

Ms. Vibha Tiwari, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana, for the non-applicant/respondent.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. This review application has been filed by the applicant/appellant to seek review of the judgment dated 16.03.2020. A Special Leave Petition, filed by the applicant/appellant to challenge the same, was dismissed on 06.01.2021.

2. The learned counsel representing the applicant/appellant submits that the review application, after dismissal of the Special Leave Petition, in *limine*, is maintainable. Undoubtedly, such review application is maintainable. However, the application for review is permissible only if the impugned judgment suffers from any patent error.

3. The learned counsel representing the applicant/appellant wishes to re-argue the appeal under the garb of review, which is not permissible.

**Review Applicaton No. RS-23 of 2021 In
Regular Second Appeal No. 1631 of 2017**

2

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to review the judgment dated 16.03.2020. Hence, the present review application is dismissed.

**(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge**

February 27, 2025

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No