



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

252

CRM-M-37115 of 2025

Date of decision: 21.07.2025

Lakhwinder Singh @ Gulli Nambardar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. H. S. Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Prabhjot Kaur Virk, Advocate, for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.0108 dated 24.05.2025 under Sections 331(6), 324(4), 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that petitioner was driving the tractor in a rash and negligent manner and damaged the sabal of the gate of the complainant. The petitioner by reversing the tractor, behind which ploughs had been connected, run over the same on the cot and the complainant very hardly saved his life by getting up from his cot. However, there was not injury in the present case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits there is no injury in the present case. He further submits petitioner is in custody for the last 1 month and 24 days as under trial. Trial is likely to take time for its conclusion and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, petitioner be released on regular bail.



4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. H. S. Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 month and 24 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner and the fact that trial is likely to take time for its conclusion; there is no injury in the present case, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of their bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.07.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No