

**CRWP-6144-2025**

1

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-6144-2025****Date of Decision: 10.06.2025****HARDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate for the petitioners.
(Through video conferencing)

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondent No.4. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of respondent No. 4 and they have apprehension that respondent No.4 might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of respondent No.4. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the respondent No. 4. Resultantly, a representation dated 05.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents



CRWP-6144-2025

2

having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into their representation/complaint dated 05.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of “**Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another**”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

10.06.2025

remu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No