



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.205**

**RSA-3365-1999**

**Date of Decision: 11.09.2025**

**RAGHBIR SINGH AND ORS.**

**...Appellants**

**Versus**

**SUBHA CHAND AND ORS.**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU**

Present:- None for the appellants.

Respondents No. 1 to 3 and 6, stated to have died.

Mr. S.S. Kaint, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

Service of respondents No. 5, 7 and 8 dispensed with  
vide order dated 12.05.2020.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)**

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant, despite notice having been issued. Even on the last date of hearing, none appeared on his behalf.

In view of these circumstances, it appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

**11.09.2025**

Anu

**(MANDEEP PANNU)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No