



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-639-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:16.05.2025

Bikramjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kanwaljeet Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Simble, Advocate for the respondent.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. Both the learned counsels for the parties have jointly submitted that when the impugned order was passed, affidavits pertaining to declaration of assets and liabilities by the parties, in terms of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Rajnish versus Neha, 2021 (2) SCC 324*** had not been filed. They also referred to a judgement passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Aditi @ Mithi Vs. Jitesh Sharma*** bearing Criminal Appeal No.3446 of 2023 (arising out of SLP (Crl.) No.11954/2023) in this regard. In the present case also, no such affidavit had been filed despite directions issued to husband to file the same.

2. Learned counsels for the parties, submitted that in view of the above, the impugned order for grant of maintenance may be set aside and the case may be remanded back to the concerned Court.

3. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by both the learned counsels for the parties, the present petition is allowed. The impugned



order is set aside. The matter is remanded back to the concerned Court for passing an order afresh expeditiously and in any case within a period of six months

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

16.05.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No