



288

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56352-2024

Date of decision: 27.02.2025

Himanshu Gautam

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.1009 dated 18.11.2017 under Sections 499/500 of IPC and Sections 66(A)/67 of IT Act (Sections 419/469/471/120-B of IPC and Section 66-D of IT Act have been added and Sections 66(A)/67 of IT Act have been deleted later on) registered at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 24.09.2024 (Annexure P-3).

The learned State counsel at the very outset submits that the present petition is not maintainable as it has been filed by only one accused and three persons have been nominated as an accused in the FIR (*supra*) and the partial compromise has been specifically barred by the Division Bench while answering the reference whether the partial compromise is permissible or not.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh petition after impleading all the accused as party.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

27.02.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No