

COCP-3840-2025 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-3840-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 05, 2025

Maxheights Promoters Pvt. Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Amit Khatri, IAS

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMAPresent: Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, A.A.G. Haryana

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 03.04.2025 passed by this Court in CWP-9498-2024.
2. The relevant portion of order dated 03.04.2025 passed by this Court is reproduced as under:-

“Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 (competent authority), to look into the pending representation/application dated 20.10.2024 (Annexure P-14) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate reasoned order thereon, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy/production of this order.”

3. In compliance of the above order, affidavit dated 21.08.2025 of Mr. Amit Khatri, Director Town and Country Planning, Haryana has been filed on behalf of respondent No. 1. The operative part of the same reads as under:-



7. *That it is submitted that in compliance of the order dated 03.04.2025 passed by this Hon'ble Court in the above said petition, the answering deponent after grant of opportunity of personal hearings to the petitioner in writ petition no. 9498 of 2025 has passed a detailed speaking order on 19.08.2025 addressing the submissions made by the petitioner in his representation dated 20.10.2024. The copy of the speaking orders dated 19.08.2025 has been conveyed by the office of answering respondent to the petitioner vide memo no. 32366 dated 20.08.2025. The copy of speaking orders for kind perusal of this Hon'ble High Court is annexed as Annexure R-4.*

4. In view of the above, order dated 03.04.2025 passed by this Court in CWP-9498-2024 has already been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the contempt is purged. Rule is *discharged*.

6. Pending application (s) if any also stands disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

September 05, 2025

Gaurav Arora

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No