

168

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-388-2025 in
CWP-21676-2025
Date of decision : 04.09.2025**

Paramjit Kaur and others

...Review applicant/Petitioners

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Punjab Chandigarh, Civil Secretariat,
Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present : Mr. Ajay Paul Singh, Advocate
for the review applicant/petitioners.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARSH BUNGER, J. [ORAL]

The present application has been filed by applicants/petitioners in the main petition, under Order 47 Rule 1 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, seeking review of order dated 30.07.2025 (Annexure A-1) passed by this Court in ***CWP-21676-2025***.

2. The only ground raised by learned counsel for the review applicants/petitioners is that after the passing of order dated 30.07.2025 by this Court, they had engaged a counsel namely Sh. A.S. Aulakh, Advocate at Bathinda to pursue the partition matter further and Sh. A.S. Aulakh, Advocate told the applicants that their predecessor-in-interest namely Sh. Pritpal Singh had engaged him as a counsel in a Civil Suit No.232 of 2014 titled as *Gamdoor Singh and others vs. Balwant Singh and others*, wherein the partition proceedings had been challenged. He informed the

applicants that Sh. Pritpal Singh had also filed a counter claim in the aforesaid suit and vide judgment and decree dated 13.12.2018, the aforesaid suit filed by Sh. Gamdoor Singh and others was dismissed and the counter claim filed by Sh. Pritpal Singh was decreed.

2.1 He further informed that even the appeal filed by Sh. Gamdoor Singh etc. against the judgment and decree dated 13.12.2018 was also withdrawn by them. Learned counsel for the review applicant contends that the revision petition (ROR No.554 of 2020) before the learned Financial Commissioner was being pursued by their predecessor namely Sh. Pritpal Singh, who expired on 21.09.2024 and thereafter, the applicants were impleaded as legal heirs of deceased Sh. Pritpal Singh in the aforesaid revision petition.

2.2 It is further contended that the applicants were not aware of the aforesaid judgment and decree dated 13.12.2018 and therefore, they could not produce the same before this Court in the writ petition bearing CWP No.21676-2025. Accordingly, it is prayed that the present review application be allowed by recalling order dated 30.07.2025 passed by this Court in CWP-21676-2025.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the review applicants/petitioners and perused the paper book.

4. Apparently, the above referred judgment and decree dated 13.12.2018 was not placed along with writ petition (CWP-21676-2025). Concededly, Sh. Pritpal Singh was pursuing the revision petition (ROR No.554 of 2020) before the learned Financial Commissioner and in case he had to place any reliance on the judgment and decree dated 13.12.2018, he would have referred to the said document in the aforesaid revision petition itself, as the revision petition was filed in the year 2020 and

he expired on 21.09.2024. Therefore, I find no merit in the explanation tendered by the applicants that the revision petition (ROR No.554 of 2020) was being pursued by their predecessor Sh. Pritpal Singh, therefore they were unaware of judgment and decree dated 13.12.2018.

5. Be that as it may, the revision petition (ROR No.554 of 2020) was allowed by the learned Financial Commissioner vide order dated 19.05.2025, whereby he has remanded the matter to the learned Assistant Collector 1st Grade for fresh decision after hearing the parties. The said order has been upheld by this Court vide the order under review i.e. order dated 30.07.2025 passed in CWP-21676-2025. Since the matter has been remanded to the Assistant Collector for fresh decision, the review applicants/petitioners would be at liberty to raise all pleas available to them in the proceedings before the learned Assistant Collector.

6. In view of the above, I find no merit in the instant review application and the same is accordingly dismissed.

September 04, 2025

Himani

**(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No