



**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : 23.01.2025

1. RSA-1688-1998 (O&M)

Hari Bhagwan ...Appellant

Vs.

Inder Sain and others ...Respondents

2. RSA-3151-1998 (O&M)

Inder Sain ...Appellant

Vs.

Hari Bhagwan and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate
for the appellant in RSA-3151-1998 and
for the respondents in RSA-1688-1998.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. These two cross regular second appeals have come up for hearing after a period of 27 years. Though, learned counsel representing the appellant in RSA-1688-1998 has died, however, learned counsel representing the respondents in RSA-1688-1998 and appellant in RSA-3151-1998 submits that the cause for continuation of the appeal does not survive because Hari Bhagwan vide writing dated 15.07.1998 relinquished his possession in favour of Inder Sain etc. He submits that both the appeals are relating to the aforesaid



house. A photocopy of the writing is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

2. In view of the aforesaid fact, both the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the parties to file application for revival, if the cause survives.

3. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

23.01.2025

neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No