



216 (2nd case)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2991-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.05.2025**

Arshdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS'), for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.152 dated 11.07.2024, under Section 109 read with Section 3 (5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other accused in furtherance of their common intention inflicted gunshot injury on the person of *de facto* complainant Parveen Singh with an attempt to kill him.

3. Contends that petitioner was not named in the FIR; rather nominated on the basis of secret information and he remained in custody from 17.07.2024 to 24.01.2025. Further contends that



petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 24.01.2025 and he has not misused the concession.

3.1 Lastly contends that there is no apprehension that petitioner is likely to pressurize the prosecution witnesses and/or hamper the trial, in any manner.

4. Learned State Counsel, on instructions, has fairly acknowledged the above factual position, but opposed the prayer on the premise that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature.

5. Heard learned counsel for both the sides and perused the paper book.

6. It is a matter of record that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 24.01.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Contends that co-accused, namely, Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi has already been granted interim bail by this Court on 08.01.2025 (P-2).

Learned State counsel seeks time to have instructions in the matter.

Posted for 30.01.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner be released on interim bail in the present case, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

To be heard along with CRM-M-64236-2024.”

7. It is not in dispute that petitioner remained in custody from 17.07.2024 to 24.01.2025 and after granting interim bail vide order dated 24.01.2025, he never misused the concession.



8. Consequently, present petition is allowed. Interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 24.01.2025, is made absolute. Petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

10. Above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of case, in any manner.

11. It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State of Punjab would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

19.05.2025

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

d.gulati

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No